UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,876	10/10/2006	Peixin Xu	OP-094000699	9951
46103 HDSL	7590 10/06/200	8	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2207			GUHARAY, KARABI	
CHANTILLY,	VA 20153-0746		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2889	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/554,876	XU, PEIXIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karabi Guharay	2889				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
ologod in addordance with the practice and c	A parte gaayie, 1000 G.B. 11, 10	0 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Oath/Declaration

Applicant has not complied with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63(c), since the oath, declaration or application data sheet does not acknowledge the filing of any foreign application. A new oath, declaration or application data sheet is required in the body of which the present application should be identified by application number and filing date.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McDermott (US 6361190).

Regarding claims 1& 5, McDermott discloses a light emitting device (Fig 3 & 13) including a LED die (LED chip 3), a connection pin (a positive and negative leads 1 & 4), a transparent envelope body (resin body 6 made of transparent material), characterized in that the envelope body is a cylindrical body and a top surface of the envelope body being indented to form a conic concave or a polygonal concave (lines 28-46 of column 6) with a tip angle between 100° to 140°(see Fig 4; lines 12-15 of column 7), top surface indented to form a semi-spherical recess as claimed in claim 5 (see Fig 6; lines 12-19 of column 10).

Art Unit: 2889

Regarding claim 2, McDermott discloses that the tip is 130° to 140° (lines 15-17 of column 7).

Regarding claims 4 & 7, McDermott discloses that the connection pin has an internal end terminated with a frame (see concave frame attached to pin 4; Fig 13) for holding the light-emitting diode die and the frame forms a recessed space for accommodating the light-emitting diode die therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDermott as applied to claims 1 & 5 above, and further in view of Tamemoto (JP 2000-22221 A).

Regarding claims 2 & 6, McDermott discloses all the limitations of claims 2 & 6 except for envelope body has same color as that of the light generated by the light emitting chip.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,876 Page 4

Art Unit: 2889

However, in the same field of LED lighting, Tamemoto discloses LED package where the resin envelope is colored substantially same as the color of the light emitted from the chip, in order to prevent reduction in luminous intensity (see English Abstract).

Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate color to the envelope body substantially same as that of the light generated by the light emitting diode chip since this will prevent reduction in luminous intensity.

Other Prior Art Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Sommers (US 6674096); West et al. (US 6598998); Angelini et al.(US 7349163).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is 571-272-2452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minh-Toan Ton can be reached on 571-272-2303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,876

Page 5

Art Unit: 2889